

**From:** [Wesling, Mary](#)  
**To:** [Ronald Conrow](#)  
**Cc:** [Helminger, Andrew](#)  
**Subject:** RE: SPPHU Newsletter\_June 2013  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 25, 2013 10:59:12 AM

---

Ron,

I have passed your request to add this to our discussion when we meet. I suggest that you talk to your legal counsel about it also. We also need to set a date, if that has not already happened.

Thx,  
Mary

-----Original Message-----

From: Ronald Conrow [<mailto:Ronald.Conrow@plainsmidstream.com>]  
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 10:15 AM  
To: Wesling, Mary  
Subject: SPPHU Newsletter\_June 2013

Mary,

Attached is the SPPHU Newsletter for June 2013. On page 2, the SPPHU states Rancho's "worst case" blast radius is over 3-miles (using an EPA calculation). Perhaps we can discuss with EPA legal when Rancho and the EPA meet to discuss the 'show cause' letter issued on 03/14/2013? They should not be able to state this kind of erroneous information using EPA calculations as their basis. Their purpose is to attempt to show Rancho's "worst case" would result in significant damage to the Port of LA. The "worst case" contained in our RMP of 0.5 miles does not impact the Port.

Ron